Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/619,268	MENG, CHARLES Q.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karl J. Puttlitz	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal all claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RESOLUTION of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication is subjected.	application. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to application filed 7/14/	<u>′2003</u> .	•
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-29,44-69 and 91-94</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the 		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received:	cuments have been received in thi	s national stage application from the
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	•	ly complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submin INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") muse (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such sheet. 	son's Patent Drawing Review(PTG s Amendment / Comment or in the .84(c)) should be written on the draw	Office action of wings in the front (not the back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5. Notice of Informal 6. Interview Summa Paper No./Mail D	
 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No./Mail Date 2/2/2004 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material GROUP 1600 	7. \(\text{Examiner's Amen} \) 8. \(\text{Examiner's Stater} \) 9. \(\text{Other} \)	

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-29, 60-62 and 67-69 drawn to meglumine salts and compositions comprising the same, classified in class 562 subclass 512+.
- II. Claims 30-43 and 70-90 drawn to compounds and compositions, classified in class 562 various subclasses.
- III. Claims 44-59, 63-66 and 91-94 drawn to methods of treating classified in class 514, various subclasses.

The inventions of Groups I and II are patentably distinct since a reference anticipating those compounds of Group II would not necessarily anticipate those compounds of Group I.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the pharmaceutical methods can be practiced with other materially

Art Unit: 1621

different agents. See, for example, those references in the Background section of the instant specification.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the recited methods are restricted to the salts and compositions of Group I, not the compounds and compositions of Group II.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for the different Groups are mutually exclusive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Madeline Johnston on September 23, 2004, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I claims 1-29, 60-62 and 67-69. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Art Unit: 1621

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Madeline Johnston on September 23, 2004.

Cancel claims 30-43 and 70-90

Claim 57, replace "treatment of a disorder mediated by" with "inhibiting expression of";

Claim 67, replace "treatment of a disorder mediated by" with "inhibiting expression of";

Claim 93, replace "treatment of a disorder mediated by" with "inhibiting expression of".

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 1621

A search of the prior art failed to uncover a reference that either taught or motivated those of ordinary skill to modify its teachings to include a salt covered by the allowed claims, or any associated composition of method requiring its use.

While claims 30-43, 44-59, 63-66, 70-90 and 91-94 were withdrawn from consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention, the examiner has rejoined method claims of Group II, namely, 44-59, 63-66 and 91-94 upon allowance of the product claims of Group I. See M.P.E.P. § 821.04 ("if applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined.").

The examiner notes that Applicant has canceled the non-elected subject matter in response to the restriction requirement.

Accordingly, claims 1-29, 44-69 and 91-94 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl J. Puttlitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0645. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (alternate).

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Karl J. Puttlitz

Assistant Examiner

Johann R. Richter, Ph.D., Esq.
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Biotechnology and Organic Chemistry

Art Unit 1621 (571) 272-0646